# Before the Division of Water Resources Department of Public Works State of California

o0o

In the Matter of Application 7012 of East Side Canal and Irrigation Company to appropriate from McCoy Spillway, Arena Spillway, South Branch of Livingston Drain, Bear Creek, Owens Creek, Duck Creek and Deadman Creek, tributaries of San Joaquin River in Merced County for irrigation purposes

000

Decision A. 7012 D 472

Decided March 10, 1941

000

APPEARANCES AT HEARING HELD AT SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA, DECEMBER 19, 1938.

### For Applicants

East Side Canal and Irrigation Company (George J. Hatfield (Fred Wood (W. B. Mead (Wilton C. Gordon

## For Frotestants

No appearances

EXAMINER: Everett N. Bryan, Supervising Hydraulic Engineer, Division of Water Resources, Department of Public Works, State of California.

# OPINION

# General Description of Application 7012

Application 7012 was filed by the East Side Canal and Irrigation

Company on July 20, 1931. It proposes appropriations as follows:

| Source                           | Point of diversion within                             | Amount     |
|----------------------------------|---|------------|
| McCoy Spillway<br>Arena Spillway | NE SWA, Sec. 20 T7S R11E<br>NEA SEA, Sec. 20 T7S R11E | 10 c.f.s.  |
| S.Br. Livingston Drain           | NW NE             | 15 "       |
| Bear Creek                       | $SE_{4}^{T} NW_{6}^{T}$ , Sec. 12 T8S R11E            | 60 "       |
| Owens Creek                      | NET SWI, Sec. 19 T8S R12E                             | 40 "       |
| Duck Creek                       | NET NWT, Sec. 30 T8S R12E                             | 10 *       |
| Deadman Creek                    | NW4 SE4, Sec. 30 T8S R12E                             | 10#        |
|                                  | Total   | 160 c.f.s. |

As presented at the hearing it was proposed to use the water for the irrigation of 48,087.70 acres of general crops on lands located as follows:

| Parcel A | 6 and 7 S, Rs. 9 and 10 E                        | 2,356.36 acres            |
|----------|--|---------------------------|
| Parcel B | 7 S, Rs. 9 and 10 E<br>7 and 8 S, Rs. 9 and 10 E | 3,081.15 "<br>12,909.49 " |
| Parcel D | 7,8,9 S, Rs. 11 and 12 E                         | 29,740.70 **              |
|          | Total  | 43,087.70 "               |

Subsequent to the hearing, the District requested that Parcel D containing 29,740.70 acres be eliminated from the application and it has been so amended. The place of use now contains 18,347 acres only.

Applicant specifically states that it seeks to appropriate waters of every kind flowing in the channels of these sources, including waters of the natural flow thereof and waters originating in other streams.

#### Protestants

- (1) Modesto Properties Company
- (2) Jos Steiner, et al
- (3) A. A. Anderson, et al
- (4) Mamie F. Rahilly
- (5) Charles S. Howard Company
- (6) C. L. Best
- (7) George S. Bloss, Jr. and 4 others

# Hearing Set in Accordance With Section la of the Water Commission Act

Application 7012 was completed in accordance with the Water Commission Act and the requirements of the Rules and Regulations of the Division of Water Resources and being protested was set for public hearing in accordance with Section 1a of the Water Commission Act on Monday, December 19, 1938 at 10:00 o'clock A.M. in Room 401 Public Works Building, Sacramento, California. Of this hearing applicant and protestants were duly notified.

With the hearing notice a letter was sent to the record protestants stating that all data, briefs and other showing of whatever nature presented in connection with the protests against Applications 5724, 5725, 6069, 6111,

6114, 6160, and 6807 might be deemed in evidence in connection with the protests against the approval of Application 7012 and that if the parties to this proceeding were disposed to rest their cases upon the showing made in connection with the earlier proceedings there would be no need for their appearance at the hearing shoulded for December 19, 1938.

The Division was definitely advised by the attorneys representing applicant and protestants that they were willing to comply with this suggestion which explains the non-appearance of the protestants at the hearing.

### General Discussion

After due consideration of the testimony presented at the hearing, the briefs filed subsequent to the hearing and the decisions of the Court involving the water rights on Bear, Owens, Duck and Deadman creeks it is the opinion of the Division that there is unappropriated water in the several sources from which the applicant proposes to appropriate under Application 7012 to justify the approval of this application.

# ORDER

Application 7012 for a permit to appropriate water having been filed with the Division of Water Resources as above stated, protests having been filed, a public hearing having been held and the Division of Water Resources now being fully informed in the premises:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Application 7012 be approved and that a permit be granted to the applicant subject to such of the usual terms and conditions as may be appropriate.

WITNESS my hand and the seal of the Department of Fublic Works of the State of California, this 10 day of Mar. 1941.

EDWARD HYATT, State Engineer

(Seal)

By MAROLD CONKLING Deputy

WESIM